

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/804,017	NISHIMURA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Maria Veronica D. Ewald	1722	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Pending

 (1) Maria Veronica D. Ewald.

(3) _____.

 (2) Thomas McKiernan.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 June 2006
Time: 4:55pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.


Rejection(s) discussed:
 None

Claims discussed:
 10

Prior art documents discussed:
 None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Cancellation of claim 10, since it is substantially identical to claim 1. Attorney permits cancellation of claim 10.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)